

QUALITY ASSESSMENT GRID	
Identification number of the Call for proposals	SKHU/1801
Priority axis	PA1 - Nature and Culture
Specific objective	SO11 Increase the attractiveness of the border area
Title of the project	
Relevant body	Pool of sectoral assessors
Registration number of the project	
Name of the assessor	
Signature of the assessor	
Date of assessment	

I. STRATEGIC EVALUATION			
Criterion	Max.	Score	Justification/Explanation
Project environment and coherence	12	0	
The project objectives contribute to the relevant specific objective of the Priority Axis.	0-4	0	
Project contributes to relevant results that stakeholders seek to achieve indicated in the Cooperation Programme.	0-4	0	
The project fits to higher level sectorial strategies and fosters the fulfilment of the objectives thereof.	0-2	0	

The project contributes to the priorities of the EU Strategy for the Danube Region.	0-2	0	
Relevance	8	0	
The need for the project occurs on both sides of the border and this territorial need/challenge is coherently described.	0-4	0	
The number and type of the target group(s) is clearly identified, as well as the way the project serves the wider public and eligible area.	0-4	0	
Level of cross-border cooperation	8	0	
The project has clear cross-border aspect (demonstrate the additional character of the cross-border approach compared to regional, national approaches, in case of soft projects they demonstrably draw on the results of cross-border cooperation, for example, transferring models / knowledge / technology from one country to another, combining different skill sets not available in one region, gaining a critical mass otherwise unattainable, etc.).	0-4	0	
Original activities of the beneficiaries are in line with their role in the partnership and their activities described in the application form.	0-4	0	
Added value and expected results	8	0	
There is a clear link between the project results and the Programme result indicator and clear linkage to outcomes and programme output indicator. The planned results of the project are realistic and are in line with the project activities and costs.	0-4	0	
The project is innovative and represents added-value (e.g. new technologies or new solutions by which the project objectives can be reached more efficiently and by which the project can create best practices in the field.)	0-2	0	

The project is continuation of already implemented action aimed on the same desired impact in order to capitalize existing results.	0 or 2	0	
Partnership	10	0	
Partnership is balanced; relevant and necessary organizations are involved in project development, implementation and sustainability period. Rights and responsibilities of the beneficiaries are well defined and presented.	0-4	0	
SME(s) serves() an integral part of the project and contribute to the successful implementation by testifying commitment based on the financial capacity.	0-4	0	
All beneficiaries have competence, according to their original profile, in achievement of objectives the project seek to achieve.	0-2	0	
Sustainability of project results	8	0	
The financial and institutional sustainability of the project – with special focus on involvement of the SME(s) is ensured after the implementation of the project.	0-4	0	
SME(s) represent(s) a real added value to the sustainability of the project and enable(s) the activities necessary after project closure in order to bring also results in follow-up period.	0-4	0	
II. OPERATIONAL EVALUATION			
Criterion	Max.	Score	Comments/Explanation

Feasibility	6	0	
The method of the project implementation strongly relies on the sustainability on the SME(s) to be involved in the partnership.	0-4	0	
Methodology of the project implementation and the introduced technical solutions (if relevant) are elaborated thoroughly and contributes to the achievements of the project.	0-2	0	
Management	4	0	
All beneficiaries ensure the project management organization for the project by providing adequate human resource capacity and expertise.	0-2	0	
Lead beneficiary enables a smooth implementation of the project by involving the proposed SME(s) as beneficiaries.	0-2	0	1
Budget and finance	12	0	
The individual budget lines are well founded and duly supported by calculations and necessary for implementation. The implementation of the project is planned by applying cost-effective, cost-saving solutions.	0-2	0	

Financial background of the beneficiaries with special focus on SME(s) is adequate; ensure the liquidity of the project.	0-10	0	
Planned activities/Schedule	6	0	
Planned activities are relevant and necessary for the successful implementation of the project. The method of implementing the planned activities is presented in details.	0-2	0	
The project activities are well-defined, feasible and ensure the achievement of the specific objectives. Defined set of outcomes fully describes all planned activities.	0-2	0	
Planned communication activities have joint nature, suitable for dissemination of project result and provide sufficient publicity.	0-2	0	
Horizontal principles	4	0	
The project contributes to horizontal principles: sustainable development, equal opportunities and non-discrimination and equality between men and women.	0-2	0	
The project contributes to the specific horizontal measures defined for each Priority Axis.	0-2	0	

SUMMARY OF THE QUALITY ASSESSMENT			
Subtotal score for strategic evaluation	54	0	
Subtotal score for operational evaluation	32	0	
Total score:	86	0	
Overall recommendation:			
Recommended for approval:			

Recommended for approval with conditions:	
Recommended for non-approval with reasons specified:	
Conditions/Reasons:	
In case of proposed budget cuts, the exact reference to budget lines and proposed amount with justification:	