

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT		
ID of the call for proposals	SKHU/1902	
Priority axis	PA1 - Nature and culture	
Action		
Acronym		
Registration number of the application		

Registration number of the application				
I. STRATEGIC EVALUATION				
Criterion	Мах	P1 Joint Secretariat	P2 Territorial assessors	P3 External assessors
Project enviroment	18	0	12	6
The project addresses common territorial challenges and opportunities in the programme area	8		4	4
The project clearly contributes to a wider strategy on terrotorial level.	4		4	
The project clearly contributes to a wider strategy on policy level (e.g. Danube strategy, sectorial plans)	2			2
The project makes use of available knowledge and builds on existing results and practices	4		4	
Cooperation character	12	8	0	4
The project has strong cross-border character having clear impact on territorial, economic and social cohesion of the border area	4	2		2
The project creates complex development and the partnership is realized between wide range of Beneficiaires (e.g.) from the public and private sector as well.	8	6		2
Relevance	12	11	0	1
The mission of the project clearly links to a priority axis and specific objective	3	3		
The project results clearly link to a programme result indicator	3	3		
The project objectives clearly link to the selected action	3	3		
The project main outputs clearly link to the project objectives	3	2		1
Added value	20	8	4	8
Target groups are identified, and results and main outputs are in accordance with their needs	12	4	4	4
Project main outputs are durable. The financial and institutional sustainability of the project is ensured after the implementation of the project.	8	4		4
Partnership	10	6	4	0
The project involves the relevant partners needed to address the territorial challenge/opportunity.	6	2	4	
Partner organisations have proven experience in the thematic field concerned, as well as the necessary capacity to implement the project (financial, human resources, etc.)	4	4		
Horizontal principles	2	0	0	2



The project makes a positive contribution to the programme horizontal principles: equal opportunities and non-discrimination, equality between men and women, sustainable development	2			2	
---	---	--	--	---	--

II. OPERATIONAL EVALUATION					
Criterion	Max			Score	
Management capacities	4	2	0	2	
All beneficiaries ensure the project management for the project by providing adequate human capacity and expertise.	4	2		2	
Feasibility	10	4	0	6	
Proposed activities and outcomes are relevant and lead to the planned main outputs and result/s	4			4	
Results and main outputs are realistic (is it possible to achieve them with given resources – i.e. time, partners, budget - and they are realistic based on the quantification provided)	4	2		2	
Project activities are described properly indicating the tasks, responsible and tangible outcomes.	2	2			
Requested financial resources	12	6	0	6	
Project budget appears proportionate to the proposed activities and the main outputs and results aimed for	4	2		2	
Expenditures are planned respecting sound financial principles.	4	2		2	
The individual budget lines are well founded, linked to activities and their content is sufficiently described.	4	2		2	
Costs of different services and products are in line with usual market prices.		Y/N		Y/N	

SUMMARY OF THE QUALITY ASSESSMENT				
Subtotal score for strategic evaluation	74	33	20	21
Subtotal score for operational evaluation	26	12	0	14
Total score:	100	45	20	35

PA1 2



SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT	
ID of the call for proposals	SKHU/1902
Priority axis	PA4 - Enhancing cross-border cooperation
Action	
Acronym	
Registration number of the application	

Registration number of the application				
I. STRATEGIC EVALUATION				
Criterion	Мах	Part1 Joint Secretariat	Part2 Territorial assessors	Part3 External assessors
Project enviroment	18	0	12	6
The project addresses common territorial challenges and opportunities in the programme area	8		4	4
The project clearly contributes to a wider strategy on terrotorial level.	4		4	
The project clearly contributes to a wider strategy on policy level (e.g. Danube strategy, sectorial plans)	2			2
The project makes use of available knowledge and builds on existing results and practices	4		4	
Cooperation character	12	8	0	4
The project has strong cross-border character having clear impact on territorial, economic and social cohesion of the border area	4	2		2
Cooperation has real benefit for the Beneficiairies and according to the profile of the Beneficiaries has potential for the future.	8	6		2
Relevance	12	11	0	1
The mission of the project clearly links to a priority axis and specific objective	3	3		
The project results clearly link to a programme result indicator	3	3		
The project objectives clearly link to the selected action	3	3		
The project main outputs clearly link to the project objectives	3	2		1
Added value	20	8	4	8
Target groups are identified, and results and main outputs are in accordance with their needs	12	4	4	4
Project main outputs are durable. The financial and institutional sustainability of the project is ensured after the implementation of the project.	8	4		4
Partnership	10	6	4	0
The project involves the relevant partners needed to address the territorial challenge/opportunity.	6	2	4	
Partner organisations have proven experience in the thematic field concerned, as well as the necessary capacity to implement the project (financial, human resources, etc.)	4	4		
Horizontal principles	2	0	0	2



The project makes a positive contribution to the programme horizontal principles: equal opportunities and non-discrimination, equality between men and women, sustainable development	2			2	
---	---	--	--	---	--

II. OPERATIONAL EVALUATION				
Criterion	Max			Score
Management capacities	4	2	0	2
All beneficiaries ensure the project management for the project by providing adequate human capacity and expertise.	4	2		2
Feasibility	10	4	0	6
Proposed activities and outcomes are relevant and lead to the planned main outputs and result/s	4			4
Results and main outputs are realistic (is it possible to achieve them with given resources – i.e. time, partners, budget - and they are realistic based on the quantification provided)	4	2		2
Project activities are described properly indicating the tasks, responsible and tangible outcomes.	2	2		
Requested financial resources	12	6	0	6
Project budget appears proportionate to the proposed activities and the main outputs and results aimed for	4	2		2
Expenditures are planned respecting sound financial principles.	4	2		2
The individual budget lines are well founded, linked to activities and their content is sufficiently described.	4	2		2
Costs of different services and products are in line with usual market prices.		Y/N		Y/N

SUMMARY OF THE QUALITY ASSESSMENT				
Subtotal score for strategic evaluation	74	33	20	21
Subtotal score for operational evaluation	26	12	0	14
Total score:	100	45	20	35

PA4 4