

QUALITY ASSESSMENT GRID	
Identification number of the Call for proposals	SKHU/1601
Priority axis	PA2 - Enhancing cross-border mobility
Specific objective	SO21 Increasing the density between border crossing points along the Hungarian-Slovak border
Title of the project	
Registration number of the project	
Name of the assessor	
Signature of the assessor	
Date of assessment	

REJECTION CRITERIA		
Criterion	Yes/No/NA	Justification/Explanation
Project has TEN-T relevance which is confirmed on the basis of the following criteria: a, The project improves a connection between a tertiary node and the TEN-T network b, Connections which effectively cross the border or which create new, direct border crossing c, Shorter distance and decrease in travel time d, Mutual socio-economic and environmental benefit e, In line with the road safety directive		
Project partners are responsible for the preparation and implementation of the project, which means that they are not allowed to play an intermediary role.		

I. STRATEGIC EVALUATION			
Criterion	Max.	Score	Justification/Explanation
Project environment and coherence	14	0	
The project objectives contribute to the relevant specific objective of the Priority Axis.	0-4	0	
Project contributes to relevant results that stakeholders seek to achieve indicated in the Cooperation Programme.	0-4	0	

The project fits to higher level territorial development plans and fosters the fulfilment of the objectives thereof.	0-4	0	
Project is promoting the implementation of measures of the 2nd River Basin Management plan both national level and the Danube River Basin District levels to reach the WFD objectives.			
Investment to inland waterways/ infrastructure is implemented in accordance with Art.4 of the Directive 2000/60/EC.			
The project contributes to the priorities of the EU Strategy for the Danube Region.	0-2	0	
Relevance	8	0	
The need for the project occurs on both sides of the border and this territorial need/challenge is coherently described.	0-4	0	
The number and type of the target group(s) is clearly identified.	0-4	0	
Cross-border cooperation	8	0	
Project must have a clear cross-border aspect road construction not crossing the border are not supported unless they form part of a bigger, cross-border development programme (larger investment realized on both sides of the border facilitating cross-border mobility) aiming to broaden TEN-T network. Investments in infrastructure not deserving cross-border mobility are not supported	0-4	0	
Original activities of the project partners are in line with their role in the partnership and their activities described in the application form.	0-4	0	
Added value and expected results	12	0	
There is a clear link between the project results and the Programme result indicator and clear linkage to outcomes and programme output indicator. The planned results of the project are realistic and are in line with the project activities and costs.	0-5	0	
The added value of the project for target groups is clearly demonstrated, as well as the way the project serves the wider public and eligible area.	0-3	0	
The project results contribute to the elimination of the constraints (infrastructural, social, economic, cultural, and environmental) of the expansion of bilateral relations.	0-2	0	

The project is continuation of already implemented action aimed on the same desired impact in order to capitalize existing results.	0 or 2	0	
Partnership	6	0	
Partnership is balanced; relevant and necessary organizations are involved in project development, implementation and sustainability period. Rights and responsibilities of the project partners are well defined and presented.	0-4	0	
All project partners are fully committed to the implementation of the project and they have competence in achievement of objectives. They involve the target groups in the preparation and implementation of the project.	0-2	0	
Sustainability of project results	8	0	
The financial and institutional sustainability of the project is ensured after the implementation of the project.	0-4	0	
The project is foreseen to have significant achievements, results after its closure to sustain. The results of the project contribute to the strengthening of future bilateral relations.	0-4	0	

II. OPERATIONAL EVALUATION			
Criterion	Max.	Score	Comments/Explanation
Feasibility	12	0	
Methodology of the project implementation and the introduced technical solutions (if relevant) are elaborated thoroughly and contributes to the achievements of the project.	0-5	0	
Application assesses the potential risks and impediments to the project implementation and management and gives proposal to their minimalisation, elimination.	0-4	0	
Infrastructural investments meet the technical parameters of the relevant national (both Slovak and Hungarian) regulations.	0-3	0	
Management	5	0	
All project partners ensure the project management organization for the project by providing adequate human resource capacity and expertise.	0-3	0	
Reporting, monitoring and supervision of the project are solved within the partnership during the implementation and also in the follow-up period.	0-2	0	
Budget and finance	12	0	
The project partners' budgets are realistic, balanced and correspond to the positions taken by their responsibilities.	0-2	0	
The individual budget lines are well founded and duly supported by calculations and necessary for implementation. The implementation of the project is planned by applying cost-effective, cost-saving solutions.	0-4	0	
The project budget is well prepared without the need of further modifications	0-2	0	
Financial background of the project partners is adequate; ensure the liquidity of the project.	0-2	0	
Project partners have proper financial and technical instruments for the planned activities, which are justified by the proofs of previous experiences in the realization of larger infrastructural projects.	0-2	0	

Planned activities/Schedule	10	0	
Planned activities are relevant and necessary for the successful implementation of the project. The method of implementing the planned activities is presented in details.	0-3	0	
The project activities are well-defined, feasible and ensure the achievement of the specific objectives. Defined set of outcomes fully describes all planned activities.	0-2	0	
Planned communication activities have joint nature, suitable for dissemination of project result and provide sufficient publicity.	0-3	0	
The timing is ideal for the project implementation, project activities can be implemented within the planned schedule.	0-2	0	
Horizontal principles	5	0	
The project contributes to horizontal principles: sustainable development, equal opportunities and non-discrimination and equality between men and women.	0-3	0	
The project contributes to the specific horizontal measures defined for each Priority Axis.	0-2	0	

SUMMARY OF THE QUALITY ASSESSMENT			
Subtotal score for strategic evaluation	56	0	
Subtotal score for operational evaluation	44	0	
Total score:	100	0	
Overall recommendation:			
Recommended for approval:			
Recommended for approval with conditions:			
Recommended for non-approval with reasons specified:			
Conditions/Reasons:			
In case of proposed budget cuts, the exact reference to budget lines and proposed amount with justification:			