

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT			
ID of the call for proposals	SKHU/1703		
Priority axis	Priority Axis 3		
Specific objective	SO 3.1		
Acronym of the TAPE			
Registration number of the application			
Name of the assessor			
Date of assessment			
Signature of the assessor			
Rejection criteria	Y/N	Index	
The minimum number of projects is reached		P1	
The minimum number of Key action projects is reached		P1	
The minimum number of the involved SME is reached		P1	
The minimum value of the CO08 is reached		P1	
Each key action and supplementary project selected at least one output indicator		P1	
I. STRATEGIC EVALUATION			
Criterion	Score	Max	Index
Project environment and coherence		21	
<i>Identification of the target area</i>		7	
Target area is located in one of the in less developed regions		3	P1
Target area is cross-border		2	P3
Target area is functionally relevant		2	P3
<i>Socio-economic analysis</i>		8	
The analysis is understandable and relevant in the scope of the target area		2	P3
The analysis is focusing on essential factors of the employment and the cross-border labour mobility of the target area		2	P3
Endogenous potentials of the target area are clearly identified		4	P3
<i>Identification of territorial needs</i>		6	
Territorial needs are described well and relevant for the target area		4	P2
Territorial needs reflect on the result of the analyses and the identified endogenous potentials		2	P3
Relevance		25	
<i>Objectives</i>		19	
Mission of the TAPE is well defined and it is in line with the specific objective of the PA3		5	P1
Specific objectives of the TAPE reflect on territorial needs of the target area.		2	P2

Specific objectives of the TAPE are in line with the development strategy of the relevant county.		6	P2
Specific objectives of the TAPE reflect on territorial needs of the target area.		3	P3
The specific objectives are well designed and measurable		3	P3
Integration		6	
Other employment initiatives are integrated into the TAPE on strategic level		4	P3
Other employment initiatives are integrated into the TAPE on intervention level		2	P2
Level of cross-border cooperation		8	
Results of the TAPE has real cross-border impact		4	P3
Implementation of the TAPE increases the level of cross-border integration of the target area		4	P3
Added value		8	
Expected results reflect on overall and specific objectives and are clearly described and measurable		4	P3
Amount of new the working places are ambitiuos and realistic. New working places reflect on key factors of the employment described in analyses and are sustainable		4	P3
Partnership		4	
Members of the consortium are experienced and their professional profile is in line with the role and activities described in the TAPE		2	P3
Project partnerships are balanced and the members of the projects have professional profile in line with the role and activities described in the project		2	P3
Sustainability		9	
Target groups of each project proposal are defined well and are relevant from the point of view of sustainability		3	P3
Professional sustainability of each key action and supplementary project is ensured		3	P3
Financial sustainability of each key action and supplementary project is ensured		3	P3

II. OPERATIONAL EVALUATION			
Criterion	Score	Max	Index
Management capacities		6	
Members of the CCP are experienced and have proper capacities to provide management support for the Consortium.		2	P1
Members of the CCP are experienced and have proper capacities to provide communication support for the Beneficiaries.		2	P1
TAPE assesses the potential risks and impediments to the TAPE implementation and management gives proposal to their mitigation or elimination.		2	P1
Feasibility		9	
The proposed projects are indispensable parts of the TAPE and are absolutely necessary for the overall success of the TAPE.		0/3	P3
Project activities and the expected results are well-defined, feasible and ensure the achievement of the project objectives.		3	P3
Project proposals are in synergic or complementary relation with other projects implemented within the TAPE.		3	P3
Requested financial resources		4	
The overall budget of the TAPE is realistic and is in line with sound financial management principles.		2	P3
Budgets of the project proposals are realistic and are in line with the sound financial management principles.		2	P3
Project working schedule		4	
Timing of the TAPE implementation is deliberate but agile considering the available financial and human resources.		2	P1
The implementation of the TAPE can be started in 2018.		0/2	P1
Horizontal principles		2	
TAPE creates working places for people living in deep poverty and Roma or for long term unemployed people or for tertiary educated people.		0/2	P1

SUMMARY OF THE QUALITY ASSESSMENT			
Subtotal score for strategic evaluation		75	
Subtotal score for operational evaluation		25	
Total score:		100	
Conditions/Reasons:			

QUALITY ASSESSMENT - PART I.			
ID of the call for proposals	SKHU/1703		
Priority axis	Priority Axis 3		
Specific objective	SO 3.1		
Relevant body	Joint Secretariat		
Acronym of the TAPE			
Registration number of the application			
Name of the assessor			
Date of assessment			
Signature of the assessor			
Rejection criteria	Y/N	Justification	
The minimum number of projects is reached			
The minimum number of Key action projects is reached			
The minimum number of the involved SME is reached			
The minimum value of the CO08 is reached			
Each key action and supplementary project selected at least one output indicator			
I. STRATEGIC EVALUATION			
Criterion	Score	Max	Overall justification
Project environment and coherence		3	
<i>Identification of the target area</i>		3	
Target area is located in one of the less developed regions		3	
Relevance		5	
<i>Objectives</i>		5	
Mission of the TAPE is well defined and it is in line with the specific objective of the PA3		5	
II. OPERATIONAL EVALUATION			
Criterion	Score	Max	Overall justification
Management capacities		6	
Members of the CCP are experienced and have proper capacities to provide management support for the Consortium.		2	
Members of the CCP are experienced and have proper capacities to provide communication support for the Beneficiaries.		2	

TAPE assesses the potential risks and impediments to the TAPE implementation and management gives proposal to their mitigation or elimination.		2	
Project working schedule		4	
Timing of the TAPE implementation is deliberate but agile considering the available financial and human resources.		2	
The implementation of the TAPE can be started in 2018.		0/2	
Horizontal principles		2	
TAPE creates working places for people living in deep poverty and Roma or for long term unemployed people or for tertiary educated people.		0/2	
SUMMARY OF THE QUALITY ASSESSMENT			
Subtotal score for strategic evaluation		8	
Subtotal score for operational evaluation		12	
Total score:		20	
Conditions/Reasons:			

QUALITY ASSESSMENT - PART II.			
ID of the call for proposals	SKHU/1703		
Priority axis	Priority Axis 3		
Specific objective	SO 3.1		
Relevant body	Pool of territorial experts		
Acronym of the TAPE			
Registration number of the application			
Name of the assessor			
Date of assessment			
Signature of the assessor			
I. STRATEGIC EVALUATION			
Criterion	Score	Max	Justification
Project environment and coherence		4	
<i>Identification of territorial needs</i>		4	
Territorial needs are described well and relevant for the target area		4	
Relevance		10	
<i>Objectives</i>		8	
Specific objectives of the TAPE reflect on territorial needs of the target area.		2	
Specific objectives of the TAPE are in line with the development strategy of the relevant county.		6	
<i>Integration</i>		2	
Other employment initiatives are integrated into the TAPE on intervention level		2	
SUMMARY OF THE QUALITY ASSESSMENT			
Subtotal score for strategic evaluation		14	
Subtotal score for operational evaluation		0	
Total score:		14	
Conditions/Reasons:			

QUALITY ASSESSMENT - PART III.

ID of the call for proposals	SKHU/1703
Priority axis	Priority Axis 3
Specific objective	SO 3.1
Relevant body	Pool of external assessors
Acronym of the TAPE	
Registration number of the application	
Name of the assessor	
Date of assessment	
Signature of the assessor	

I. STRATEGIC EVALUATION

Criterion	Score	Max	Justification
Project environment and coherence		14	
<i>Identification of the target area</i>		4	
Target area is cross-border		2	
Target area is functionally relevant		2	
<i>Socio-economic analysis</i>		8	
The analysis is understandable and relevant in the scope of the target area		2	
The analysis is focusing on essential factors of the employment and the cross-border labour mobility of the target area		2	
Endogenous potentials of the target area are clearly identified		4	
<i>Identification of territorial needs</i>		2	
Territorial needs reflect on the result of the analyses and the identified endogenous potentials		2	
Relevance		10	
<i>Objectives</i>		6	
Specific objectives of the TAPE reflect on territorial needs of the target area		3	
Specific objectives are well designed and measurable		3	
<i>Integration</i>		4	
Other employment initiatives are integrated into the TAPE on strategic level		4	
Level of cross-border cooperation		8	
Results of the TAPE has real cross-border impact		4	
Implementation of the TAPE increases the level of cross-border integration of the target area		4	
Added value		8	

Expected results reflect on overall and specific objectives and are clearly described and measurable		4	
Amount of new working places are ambitious and realistic. New working places reflect on key factors of the employment described in analyses and are sustainable.		4	
Partnership		4	
Members of the consortium are experienced and their professional profile is in line with the role and activities described in the TAPE		2	
Project partnerships are balanced and the members of the projects have professional profile in line with the role and activities described in the project		2	
Sustainability		9	
Target groups of each project proposal are defined well and are relevant from the point of view of sustainability		3	
Professional sustainability of each key action and supplementary project is ensured		3	
Financial sustainability of each key action and supplementary project is ensured		3	
II. OPERATIONAL EVALUATION			
Criterion	Score	Max	Overall justification
Feasibility		9	
The proposed projects are indispensable parts of the TAPE and are absolutely necessary for the overall success of the TAPE.		0/3	
Project activities and the expected results are well-defined, feasible and ensure the achievement of the project objectives.		3	
Project proposals are in synergic or complementary relation with other projects implemented within the TAPE.		3	
Requested financial resources		4	
The overall budget of the TAPE is realistic and is in line with sound financial management principles.		2	
Budgets of the project proposals are realistic and are in line with the sound financial management principles.		2	

SUMMARY OF THE QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Subtotal score for strategic evaluation		53	
Subtotal score for operational evaluation		13	
Total score:		66	

Conditions/Reasons: